USCA4 Appeal: 23-1890 Doc: 5 Filed: 08/28/2023 Pg: 1 of 8

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT DOCKETING STATEMENT--CIVIL/AGENCY CASES

Directions: Counsel must make a **docketing statement (civil/agency) filed** entry in CM/ECF within 14 days of docketing of the appeal, or within the due date set by the clerk's docketing notice, whichever is later. File with the entry the (1) docketing statement form with any extended answers and (2) any transcript order form. Parties proceeding pro se are not required to file a docketing statement. Opposing counsel who finds a docketing statement inaccurate or incomplete may file any objections within 10 days of service of the docketing statement using the ECF event-docketing statement objection/correction filed.

Appeal No. & Caption	No. 23-1890
Originating No. & Caption	No. 8:23-cv-01380-DLB, Mahmoud v. McKnight
Originating Court/Agency	U.S. District Court for the District of Maryland

Jurisdiction (answer any that apply)			
Statute establishing jurisdiction in Court of Appeals	28 U.S.C. 1292(a)(1)		
Time allowed for filing in Court of Appeals	30 days		
Date of entry of order or judgment appealed	August 24, 2023		
Date notice of appeal or petition for review filed	August 25, 2023		
If cross appeal, date first appeal filed	N/A		
Date of filing any post-judgment motion	N/A		
Date order entered disposing of any post-judgment motion	N/A		
Date of filing any motion to extend appeal period	N/A		
Time for filing appeal extended to	N/A		
Is appeal from final judgment or order?	O Yes	No	
If appeal is not from final judgment, why is order appealable? This is an appeal from an order denying a preliminary injunction, which is an appealable interlocutory order under 28 U.S.C. 1292(a)(1).			

Settlement (The docketing statement is used by the circuit mediator in pre-briefing review and mediation conducted under Local Rule 33. Counsel may make a confidential request for mediation by calling the Office of the Circuit Mediator at 843-731-9099.)		
Is settlement being discussed?	O Yes	⊙ No

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1890 Doc: 5 Filed: 08/28/2023 Pg: 2 of 8

(Yes	O No	
as transcript been filed in district court?	Has transcript been filed in district court?		⊙ No	
transcript order attached?	•	Yes	O No	
ase Handling Requirements (answer any the	nat app	ly)		
Case number of any prior appeal in same case		N/A		
Case number of any pending appeal in same case		N/A		
Identification of any case pending in this Court or Supreme Court raising similar issue		22-2034		
		If abeyance or consolidation is warranted, counsel must file an appropriate motion.		
expedited disposition necessary?		• Yes	O No	
		If yes, motion to expedite must be filed.		
Is oral argument necessary?		• Yes	O No	
Does case involve question of first impression?		• Yes	O No	
Does appeal challenge constitutionality of federal or state statute in case to which federal or state government is not a party		O Yes	• No	
		If yes, notice re: challenge to constitutionality of law must be filed.		
ature of Case (Nature of case and disposition ee attached.	below	. Attach additional pag	e if necessary.)	
ee allached.				

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1890 Doc: 5 Filed: 08/28/2023 Pg: 3 of 8

Issues (Non-binding statement of issues on appeal. Attach additional page if necessary)

Whether the district court erred in denying Appellants' motion for a preliminary injunction by finding that the Parents failed to allege violations of the Free Exercise Clause of the First Amendment or the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

Adverse Parties (List adverse parties to this appeal and their attorneys; provide party's address if the party is not represented by counsel. Attach additional page if necessary.)

Adverse Party: Monifa B. McKnight

in her official capacity as Superintendent

Attorney: Various (see attached)

Address: Various (see attached)

E-mail: Various (see attached)

Phone: Various (see attached)

Adverse Party: The Montgomery Cnty. Bd. of Edu.

Attorney: Various (see attached)
Address: Various (see attached)

E-mail: Various (see attached)

Phone: Various (see attached)

Adverse Parties (continued)

Adverse Party: Shebra Evans

in her official capacity as member of Board of Edu.

Attorney: Various (see attached)

Address: Various (see attached)

Adverse Party: Lynne Harris

in her official capacity as member of Board of Edu.

Attorney: Various (see attached)
Address: Various (see attached)

E-mail: Various (see attached)

E-mail: Various (see attached)

Phone: Various (see attached)

Phone: Various (see attached)

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1890 Doc: 5 Filed: 08/28/2023 Pg: 4 of 8

Name: Tamer Mahmoud Name: Enas Barakat				
Attorney: Various (see attached) Address: The Becket Fund for Religious Liberty 1919 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20006 Attorney: Various (see attached) Address: The Becket Fund for Religious Liberty 1919 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20006				
E-mail: Various (see attached) E-mail: Various (see attached)				
Phone: 202-955-0095 Phone: 202-955-0095				
Appellant (continued)				
Name: Jeff Roman Name: Svitlana Roman				
Attorney: Various (see attached) Address: The Becket Fund for Religious Liberty 1919 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20006 Attorney: Various (see attached) Address: The Becket Fund for Religious Liberty 1919 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20006				
E-mail: Various (see attached) E-mail: Various (see attached)				
Phone: 202-955-0095 Phone: 202-955-0095				
Signature: /s/ Eric S. Baxter Date:				
Counsel for: Plaintiffs-Appellants				
Certificate of Service (required for parties served outside CM/ECF): I certify that this document was served on by personal delivery; mail; third-party commercial carrier; or email (with written consent) on the following persons at the addresses or email addresses shown:				
Signature: Date:				

Docketing Statement Supplemental Information

Nature of the Case:

This case asks whether the Montgomery County School Board in Maryland can subject elementary-age children to instruction on gender and sexuality that violates their religious beliefs without providing parental notice and the right to opt out.

Appellants (the "Parents") are religious parents from a wealth of faith traditions whose children attend Montgomery County Public Schools ("MCPS") operated by Appellees Montgomery County Board of Education and its superintendent and board members (the "School Board"). Last fall, the School Board introduced a series of storybooks (the "Pride Storybooks") to be read to students beginning in pre-K. Rather than focus on teaching basic civility and kindness toward all, the new books encourage children to question their sexuality and gender identity, focus prematurely on romantic feelings toward their classmates, and embrace gender transitioning. When these books were first introduced, the School Board promised parents they would be notified when the books were read (and they were), and that they could opt their children out (and many did). But on March 23, 2023, the School Board announced that parents would no longer receive notice that the Pride Storybooks were going to be read and their children would no longer be allowed to seek opt outs.

Joined by Kids First, an association of religiously diverse MCPS parents and teachers, the Parents sued, alleging violations of the First and Fourteenth Amendments of the federal Constitution and Maryland law. Seeking to restore the status quo before the August 28, 2023 start of the 2023-2024 academic year, the Parents filed a motion for a preliminary injunction in June. On August 24, 2023, the district court denied that motion, finding that the Parents failed to allege that MCPS burdened their or their children's religious exercise and that they had not alleged a fundamental right under the Due Process Clause.

Adverse Parties Continued:

- 1. Grace Rivera-Oven, in her official capacity as member of the Board of Edu.
- 2. Karla Silvestre, in her official capacity as member of the Board of Edu.
- 3. Rebecca Smondrowski, in her official capacity as member of the Board of Edu.
- 4. Brenda Wolff, in her official capacity as member of the Board of Edu.
- 5. Julie Yang, in her official capacity as member of the Board of Edu.

Adverse Parties Attorneys & Contact Info:

Alan E Schoenfeld Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 7 World Trade Ctr. 250 Greenwich St. USCA4 Appeal: 23-1890 Doc: 5 Filed: 08/28/2023 Pg: 6 of 8

New York, NY 10007 2129377294

Fax: 2122308888

Email: alan.schoenfeld@wilmerhale.com

Bruce M Berman Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20037 2126636000

Fax: 2026636363

Email: bruce.berman@wilmerhale.com

Cassandra A. Mitchell 7 World Trade Center 250 Greenwich Street New York City, NY 10007 212-937-7273

Email: cassie.mitchell@wilmerhale.com

Emily Barnet
Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP
7 World Trade Center
250 Greenwich Street
New York, NY 10007
212-230-8868

Email: emily.barnet@wilmerhale.com

Jeremy W. Brinster Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue NW Washington, DC 20037 202-663-6085 Email: jeremy.brinster@wilmerhale.com

Thomas Bredar Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP 7 World Trade Center 250 Greenwich Street New York, NY 10007 212-295-6343

Email: thomas.bredar@wilmerhale.com

USCA4 Appeal: 23-1890 Doc: 5 Filed: 08/28/2023 Pg: 7 of 8

Appellants Continued:

- 1. Chris Persak, in his individual capacity and ex rel. his minor children
- 2. Melissa Persak, in her individual capacity and ex rel. her minor children
- 3. Kids First, an unincorporated association

Appellant's Attorney & Contact Info:

Eric S. Baxter William J. Haun Michael J. O'Brien

The Becket Fund for Religious Liberty 1919 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 400 Washington, DC 20006 202-955-0095 ebaxter@becketlaw.org whaun@becketlaw.org mobrien@becketlaw.org

US	CA4 Appeal: 23-1890 Doc	FOURTH CIRCUIT TRANSCRIPT ORDER FORM		
Cas	se Style Mahmoud v. McKnight			
Dis	t. Ct. No. 8:23-cv-01380-DLB	District of Maryland		
	te Notice of Appeal filed 08/25/23	Court of Appeals No. 23-1890		
	me of Court Reporter/Electronic Rec. (use dress of Reporter 6500 Cherrywood Lane	separate form for each reporter) Patricia Klepp s, Suite 200, Greenbelt, MD 20770		
an co or tha	d a single order form for all electronic recipies to the docketing statement filed in the are on order. The completed order form rat AUTH-24 requests have been submitted	of within 14 days of noting the appeal by completing a separate transcript order form for each reporter ordings requested, submitting the orders to the court reporters and the district court, and attaching court of appeals. The transcript order form should not include requests for transcripts that are on file must show that necessary financial arrangements have been made. In CJA cases, counsel must certify through the district court's eVoucher system for approval by the district judge. Once the transcript CJA-24 voucher for payment in the district eVoucher system. For assistance, see District eVoucher symment.		
ord orig con	ered, along with any necessary hearing tra- ginal trial transcript should be purchased fi	encing hearing must be ordered. In <u>Anders</u> appeals, plea (or trial) and sentencing transcript must be inscripts (e.g., suppression hearings). In multi-defendant cases involving CJA defendants, only one om the court reporter on behalf of CJA defendants, and copies should thereafter be made at \$.15 per page. Co-defendants may obtain paper or electronic copies of prepared transcript from the transcript fr		
ord		s necessary, appellee must designate the additional parts within 14 days after service of the transcript nal parts within 14 days, appellee may, within the following 14 days, order the additional parts or movellant to do so.		
the Jud	transcript, and thereafter submit a stateme icial Conference Policy on Privacy and Pure condensed transcript may not be used in rgin of each page of testimony, as required. This constitutes an order of the transcript	district court of any intention to direct redaction of personal data identifiers within 7 days of filing of nt of redactions to the court reporter within 21 days of filing of the transcript, as required by the blic Access to Electronic Case Files. Counsel should obtain full-size transcript from the court reporter the appendix. Counsel should verify that the witness name and type of examination appear in the top for inclusion in the appendix on appeal. Local Rule 30(b). of the following proceedings. Check appropriate box(es), provide date of hearing, and indicate total authorization is required for opening and closing statements, voir dire, and instructions.		
PROCEEDING □ Voir Dire		HEARING DATE(S)		
	☐ Opening Statement (Plaintiff)			
	□ Opening Statement (Defendant)□ Closing Argument (Plaintiff)			
☐ Closing Argument (Defendant)				
	☐ Opinion of Court			
	☐ Jury Instructions☐ Sentencing			
	Bail Hearing Pre-Trial Proceedings (specify)	Hearing on August 9, 2023 (Motion for Preliminary Injunction)		
	☐ Testimony (specify)☐ Other (specify)			
		17		
В.	☐ Expedited transcript completion reque	sted within □ 14 days □ 7 days □ 3 days.		
C.	I certify that I have contacted the court reporter (or court reporter coordinator if electronic recording) and satisfactory financial arrangements for payment of the transcript have been made as follows: ☑ Private funds. (Deposit of \$ 427.05 _ enclosed with court reporter's copy. Check No 1212) ☐ CJA AUTH-24 request submitted in district eVoucher system. ☐ Government expense (civil caseIFP). Motion for transcript at government expense is pending with district judge. ☐ Advance payment waived by court reporter. Payment in full is due upon receipt of transcript. ☐ Federal Public Defender - no CJA 24 authorization necessary.			
	☐ United States appeal.			
D.	Transcript is requested in □ paper for	ormat 🗹 electronic format		

Typed Name Eric S. Baxter

Telephone No. <u>(202)</u> 955-0095

Signature /s/ Eric S. Baxter

Date Sent to Reporter_

Address 1919 Pennsylvania Ave. NW, Suite 400, Washington DC 20006

Email ebaxter@becketlaw.org Telephone No.

08/14/23